GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

SOIL INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR STATIC TEST FACILITY FOR PROPELLANTS AT BDL, IBRAHIMPATNAM.

Graphics Designers, 859, Banjara Avenue, (6-3-597/A/12/A/6B), Hyderabad - 04 M/s Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd Plot No-91, Unit – 9, Bhoinagar, Back side of Sahidnagar Police station Bhubaneswar - 02

CONTENTS

Chapter No.	Particulars	Page No
One	Introduction	01
Two	Field Operations	02 - 03
Three	Information about Laboratory Tests	04 - 05
Four	Bore Logs	06 - 10
Five	Laboratory Test Results	11 - 15
Six	Calculation of Safe Bearing Capacity and settlement	16 - 65
Seven	Calculation of Safe Bearing Capacity of Rock	66
Eight	Analysis of the Sub Surface Investigation	67 - 68
Nine	Abstract of Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC)	69 - 70
Ten	Conclusion and Recommendations.	71
Eleven	Location Map	72

Chapter One: Introduction

Sub-surface exploration plays an important role in the design for the construction of buildings and bridges. Before design, it is essential to study the behavior as well as the engineering properties of the sub-surface strata, which promotes the design more economic and perfect. It also enables to take precaution in the design for the structural safety. **"Soil Investigation for Static facility for Propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam"** was decided to be designed on the basis of the sub-surface investigation test results. As such, the Graphics Designers, Hyderabd entrusted the sub-surface investigation work to M/s Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd vide work order No. -GD/AECS/2014-272 and Date:-13/05/2014. The scope of work comprised of boring five boreholes at site. The fieldwork included making of boreholes by Wash/Rotary Boring method. The scope also included conducting Standard Penetration Tests at regular intervals and collecting soil/rock samples for identification and logging purposes, and the collected soil and rock samples were tested in the Base Laboratory and the data were analyzed.

Based on the above, this report presents the Bore Logs, Laboratory & Field Test results. On the basis of field & laboratory test results and their analysis, suitable foundations have been suggested.

Chapter Two: Field Operations

2.1 General:

In an attempt for optimization in the design of foundation for the proposed structure to be constructed at this site, Geo-technical Investigation was done. The entire investigation work had been divided mainly into two parts. (i) Field works & (ii) Laboratory tests.

Field works determine the types of sub-soil deposit and their characteristics.

➤ Laboratory tests help in determining the relevant geo-technical properties of the sub-surface deposits leading to finalization of foundation depth of the structure basing on Bearing Capacities of the foundation strata as well as the influence zone. Final depths of boring and observation of water table for each borehole are given below.

2.2 Boring & In-Situ Tests:

Wash/Rotary boring method was adopted to bore holes to the required depth. UDS & SPT were conducted at regular intervals and the soil samples were brought to the laboratory with proper identification and labeling. Standard split spoon sampler was used for conducting Standard Penetration Test. The number of blows required to drive the sampler for the 1st, 2nd & 3rd 15cm depths were recorded. The total number of blows required to drive the split spoon sampler due to the free fall of a 63.5kg hammer through a distance of 75 cm for the 2nd and 3rd 15cm penetration were taken together as the field 'N' value or the standard penetration test (resistance) of the soil.

After the penetration to full depth, the sampler was carefully pulled out. The cutting shoe and the head were removed. The soil samples were then sealed in polythene bags and labeled properly by indicating the depth of bore hole mark, reference no. etc for visual inspection and identification of soil samples for logging of the bore holes.

The field 'N' values recorded at various depths have been reported in the summarized data sheet. Test results of soil samples & their bore logs were presented separately in the sub-soil report.

Based on the above, this report presents the Bore Logs, Laboratory & Field Test results.

Undisturbed soil samples were carefully extracted in such a manner that the moisture content and structure of soil did not get altered. Standard open tube sampler was used for the collection of undisturbed soil samples. Each end of the sampling tube was carefully sealed with wax, the bore hole number and depth were indicated on the tube for proper identification.

2.3 Sampling:

Representative soil samples were collected from the borehole confirming to IS: 1892-1979. Collected samples were properly sealed in polythene bags and labeled for proper identification during testing. The disturbed samples were used for classification of soils as per IS: 1498-1970.

2.4 Ground Water Table:

Observation of ground water table is important since it influences the bearing capacity of soil in different seasons. When the foundation remains submerged under water the bearing capacity is to be calculated considering the water table correction factor. Therefore while conducting tests during dry season, it is always necessary to enquire about the ground water table level.

Bore Hole No.	Termination Depth	Water Table from the
	in m.	G.L. in m.
BH – 1	10.0 m.	Not encountered within
		the drilling depth
BH – 2	6.0 m.	- do -
BH – 3	6.0 m.	- do -
BH – 4	6.0 m.	- do -
BH – 5	6.0 m.	- do -

Chapter Three: Information about Laboratory Tests

Laboratory test conforming to relevant Indian Standard specifications were conducted on the soil samples collected from both the boreholes as detailed below. All laboratory tests were conducted as per SP: 36 Part-1 1987 of BIS.

3.1 Grain Size Analysis:

To obtain information concerning the type of soil met at various depths and to classify each soil strata, grain size analysis were carried out as per IS: 2720 (Part-IV). The results have been presented in the summarized data sheet.

3.2 Index Properties:

Soil consistency refers to the resistance of the soil offered against forces that tend to deform or rupture the soil aggregate. Consistency limits indicate the soil moisture content limits for various states of consistency. The consistency limits include Liquid Limit (L.L), Plastic Limit (P.L), and Shrinkage Limit (S.L). The difference between the numerical values of liquid limit and plastic limit of the soil is called the Plasticity Index (P.I). It indicates the range of moisture content over which the soil exhibits plasticity. It is determined as per the procedure laid down in IS: 2720 (Part-IV). Plasticity index was computed. Results of liquid limit and plasticity index have been reported in the summarized data sheets.

3.3 Specific Gravity:

The specific gravity of the soil sample is the ratio of the mass of a given volume of soil sample in air to the mass of an equal volume of water at 27°C. Specific gravity of soil sample was determined as per the provisions of IS: 2720 (Part –III). Specific gravity of soil sample obtained during the test has been reported in the summarized data sheet.

3.4 Void Ratio:

Void ratio of different soil samples were determined in through appropriate formula.

3.5 DFS:

Differential free swell index of different soil samples were determined in the laboratory and are mentioned in the laboratory test result sheet.

3.6 Final Logging:

The logging of the boreholes as obtained during field work were checked with the disturbed and undisturbed soil samples and scrutinized with the findings of laboratory tests to avoid discrepancies, if any. The bore logs have been reported in the summarized data sheets.

3.7 Results of Test:

The findings of various in-situ and laboratory tests conducted on disturbed /SPT samples have been reported in the summarized data sheet. The soil has been classified into different categories base on their Engineering properties. A careful study of the sub soil strata was made in accordance with the provisions of IS: 1498-1970 to find out their suitability as foundation materials.

CHAPTER FOUR - RECORD OF BORING

Na Na Gro Ty Dia Inc	Name of Consultants: Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. Name of the client: Graphics Designers, Secunderabad. Name of Work : Soil Investigation for Static test facility for Propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam. Ground surface level: Boring No:01 Type of boring: Rotary Driling Diameter of boring: 100mm Date of boring started: 28/05/2014 Inclination: Vertical Date of boring completed:02/06/2014 Ground water table: Not found Date of boring completed:02/06/2014											
01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13
SI. No	Thickness of soil strata in m.	R.L. in mt.	Graphical representation of soil strata	Depth from ground level in m.	Type of soil strata	Type of sample collected	No. of blows for 1st 15 cm penetration (1)	No. of blows for 2nd 15 cm penetration (2)	No. of blows for 3rd 15 cm penetration (3)	S.P.T. value = 2+3 =N	Penetration of soil strata in m.	Remarks
01				1.0	Rock	S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
02	3.0			2.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
03				3.0		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.09	SPT Rebounded, DS Collected.
04				4.5	Poorly graded sand	<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.05	SPT Rebounded DS Collected.
05	6.0			6.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.04	SPT Rebounded DS Collected.
06				7.5		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.06	SPT Rebounded DS Collected.
07				9.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, sample not recovered.
08	1.0			10.0	Rock	ROCK						Core recovery-33.0% RQD - Nil

Name of Consultants: Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.Name of the client: Graphics Designers, Secunderabad.Name of Work : Soil Investigation for Static test facility for Propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam.Ground surface level:Boring No:02Type of boring: Rotary DrilingDiameter of boring: 100mmDate of boring started: 08/06/2014Inclination: VerticalDate of boring completed:09/06/2014Ground water table: Not foundDate of boring completed:09/06/2014

				1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13
SI. No	Thickness of soil strata in m.	R.L. in mt.	Graphical representation of soil strata	Depth from ground level in m.	Type of soil strata	Type of sample collected	No. of blows for 1st 15 cm penetration (1)	No. of blows for 2nd 15 cm penetration (2)	No. of blows for 3rd 15 cm penetration (3)	S.P.T. value = 2+3 =N	Penetration of soil strata in m.	Remarks
01				1.0	Poorly graded sand	S.P.T.	10	12	15	27	0.45	SPT conducted DS Collected.
02	3.05			2.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	14	20	20	40	0.45	SPT conducted DS Collected.
03 04				<u>3.0</u> 3.05		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.05	SPT Rebounded DS Collected.
04				3.05	Rock							
05				4.5		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
06	2.95			6.0		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected

Name of Consultants: Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.Name of the client: Graphics Designers, Secunderabad.Name of Work :Soil Investigation for Static test facility for Propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam.Ground surface level:Boring No:03Type of boring: Rotary DrilingDiameter of boring: 100mmDate of boring started: 02/06/2014Inclination: VerticalDate of boring completed:03/06/2014Ground water table:Not foundDate of boring completed:03/06/2014

			1									
01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13
SI. No	Thickness of soil strata in m.	R.L. in mt.	Graphical representation of soil strata	Depth from ground level in m.	Type of soil strata	Type of sample collected	No. of blows for 1st 15 cm penetration (1)	No. of blows for 2nd 15 cm penetration (2)	No. of blows for 3rd 15 cm penetration (3)	S.P.T. value = $2+3 = N$	Penetration of soil strata in m.	Remarks
01	↓ 1.05			0.0 1.0 1.05	Poorly graded sand mixed with silt	S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.05	SPT Rebounded DS Collected.
03				2.0	Rock	S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
04				3.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
05	4.95			4.5		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
06				6.0		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected

Name of Consultants: Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.Name of the client: Graphics Designers, Secunderabad.Name of Work : Soil Investigation for Static test facility for Propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam.Ground surface level:Boring No:04Type of boring: Rotary DrilingDiameter of boring: 100mmDate of boring started: 04/06/2014Inclination: VerticalDate of boring completed:05/06/2014Ground water table: Not foundDate of boring completed:05/06/2014

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13
SI. No	Thickness of soil strata in m.	R.L. in mt.	Graphical representation of soil strata	Depth from ground level in m.	Type of soil strata	Type of sample collected	No. of blows for 1st 15 cm penetration (1)	No. of blows for 2nd 15 cm penetration (2)	No. of blows for 3rd 15 cm penetration (3)	S.P.T. value = 2+3 =N	Penetration of soil strata in m.	Remarks
			ЬХХО	0.0								
01				1.0	Rock	S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
02				2.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
03	6.0			3.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
04				4.5		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected
05				6.0		S.P.T.	>50			>50	0.00	SPT Rebounded, broken rock pieces collected

Nar Nar Gro Typ Dia Inc	Name of Consultants: Architecture & Engineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. Name of the client: Graphics Designers, Secunderabad. Name of Work : Soil Investigation for Static test facility for Propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam. Ground surface level: Boring No:05 Type of boring: Rotary Driling Diameter of boring: 100mm Date of boring started: 04/06/2014 Inclination: Vertical Date of boring completed:05/06/2014 Ground water table: Not found Date of boring completed:05/06/2014												
01													
SI. No	Thickness of soil strata in m.	R.L. in mt.	Graphical representation of soil strata	Depth from ground level in m.	Type of soil strata	Type of sample collected	No. of blows for 1st 15 cm penetration (1)	No. of blows for 2nd 15 cm penetration (2)	No. of blows for 3rd 15 cm penetration (3)	S.P.T. value = 2+3 =N	Penetration of soil strata in m.	Remarks	
01	Å			1.0	Silty sand mixed with gravel	S.P.T.	15	20	21	41	0.45	SPT conducted DS Collected.	
02	2.4			2.0		<u>S.P.T.</u>	18	23	>50	>50	0.40	SPT rebounded DS Collected.	
03				2.4	Doorly graded								
04	0.64			<u>3.0</u>	Poorly graded sand mixed with silt	<u>S.P.T.</u>	>50			>50	0.04	SPT Rebounded DS Collected.	
06 Rock Rock Core recovery-85.0% 06 4.5 ROCK ROCK 2.96 Core recovery-85.0% Core recovery-90.0% Core recovery-90.0%													
07	V		<u> KKK</u>	6.0		ROCK						RQD - 53.0%	

CHAPTER FIVE - LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SUB SOIL INVESTIGATION TEST RESULT OF THE SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING BORING OF B.H. $\mathrm{No.}\ 01$

TEST CONDUCTED AS PER IS: 2720 (Pt. I, Pt. II, Pt. III, Pt. IV, Pt. V, Pt. XI / Pt. XIII, Pt. XXXX) AND IS: 1498 – 1970

				Grain	size an	alysis		Atterb	erg's L	imits						
Sl. No	Soil sample collected	Type of collection	Fine Gravel In % (20mm to 4.75mm)	Coarse Sand In % (4.75mm to 2.0mm)	Medium Sand In % (2.0mm to 0.425mm)	Fine Sand in % (0.425mm to 0.075mm)	Silt (S)& Clay (C) in % (0.075mm to 0.001mm)	Liquid Limit In %	Plastic Limit In %	Plasticity Index in %	Field Moisture Content in %	Bulk density in gm/cc.	Specific gravity	D.F.S. In %	Field S.P.T. Value	Group of soil.
1	From 0.0m to 1.0m depth	Rock				Ro	ock strata	, broken	rock pie	eces col	lected					
2	At 1.0m depth	SPT				S	TP Rebo	unded, sa	imple n	ot recov	vered				N>50	
3	From 1.0m to 2.0m depth	Rock		Rock strata, broken rock pieces collected												
4	At 2.0m depth	SPT				S	TP Rebo	unded, sa	imple n	ot recov	vered				N>50	
5	From 2.0m to 3.0m depth	Rock				Ro	ck strata	broken	rock pie	eces col	lected					
6	At 3.0m depth	SPT	10.32	6.03	35.68	45.06	2.91	16.0	Np		7.22	1.825	2.65	0.0	N > 50	SP
7	At 4.5m depth	SPT	8.63	12.22	44.52	33.55	1.08	16.0	Np		7.30	1.879	2.65	0.0	N > 50	SP
8	At 6.0m depth	SPT	0.32	0.40	64.42	32.16	2.70	18.0	Np		7.54	1.856	2.65	0.0	N > 50	SP
9	At 7.5m depth	SPT	0.00	.00 0.26 13.18 71.18 15.38 20.0 Np 8.76 1.848 2.65 0.0								N > 50	SP			
10	At 9.0m depth	SPT				S	TP Rebo	unded, sa	mple n	ot recov	vered				N > 50	
11	From 9.0m to 10.0m depth	Rock		Rock strata, core recovery = 33.0% and RQD = Nil												

SUB SOIL INVESTIGATION TEST RESULT OF THE SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING BORING OF B.H. No. 02 $\,$

				Grain	size an	alysis		Atterb	erg's L	imits						
Sl. No	Soil sample collected	Type of collection	Fine Gravel In % (20mm to 4.75mm)	Coarse Sand In % (4.75mm to 2.0mm)	Medium Sand In % (2.0mm to 0.425mm)	Fine Sand in % (0.425mm to 0.075mm)	Silt (S)& Clay (C) in % (0.075mm to 0.001mm)	Liquid Limit In %	Plastic Limit In %	Plasticity Index in %	Field Moisture Content in %	Bulk density in gm/cc.	Specific gravity	D.F.S. In %	Field S.P.T. Value	Group of soil.
1	At 1.0m depth	SPT	3.72	3.72 2.33 79.33 14.44 0.18 16.0 Np 6.04 1.828 2.65 0.0										N =27	SP	
2	At 2.0m depth	SPT	0.19 1.24 68.57 26.73 3.27 17.0 Np 6.87 1.820 2.65 0.0 N									N = 40	SP			
3	At 3.0m depth	SPT	0.23	0.42	72.18	25.16	2.01	16.0	Np		6.56	1.885	2.65	0.0	N > 50	SP
4	From 3.05m to 4.5m depth	Rock				Roc	k strata, 1	broken ro	ock piec	es colle	ected					
5	At 4.5m depth	SPT	STP Rebounded, sample not recovered									N>50				
6	From4.5m to 6.0m depth	Soft Rock				Roc	k strata, 1	broken ro	ock piec	es colle	ected					
7	At 6.0m depth	SPT	STP Rebounded, sample not recovered											N>50		

TEST CONDUCTED AS PER IS: 2720 (Pt. I, Pt. II, Pt. III, Pt. IV, Pt. V, Pt. XI / Pt. XIII, Pt. XXXX) AND IS: 1498 – 1970

SUB SOIL INVESTIGATION TEST RESULT OF THE SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING BORING OF B.H. $\mathrm{No.}~03$

				Grain	size ana	alvsis		Atterb	erg's L	imits						
SI. No	Soil sample collected at	Type of collection	Fine Gravel In % (20mm to 4.75mm)	Coarse Sand In % (4.75mm to 2.0mm)	Medium Sand In % (2.0mm to 0.425mm)	Fine Sand in % (0.425mm to 0.075mm)	Silt (S)& Clay (C) in % (0.075mm to 0.001mm)	Liquid Limit In %	Plastic Limit In %	Plasticity Index in %	Field Moisture Content in %	Bulk density in gm/cc.	Specific gravity	D.F.S. In %	Field S.P.T. Value	Group of soil.
1	At 1.0m depth	SPT	0.82	0.92	60.36	32.56	5.34	17.0	Np		7.02	1.818	2.65	0.0	N>50	SP-SM
2	From 1.05 m to 2.0m depth	Rock		Rock strata, broken rock pieces collected												
3	At 2.0m depth	SPT				STP	Rebound	ded, sam	ple not	recover	ed				N>50	
4	From 2.0m to 3.0m depth	Rock				Rock	strata, b	roken roc	k piece	s collec	ted					
5	At 3.0m depth	SPT				STP	Rebound	ded, sam	ple not	recover	ed				N>50	
6	From 3.0m to 4.5m depth	Rock		Rock strata, broken rock pieces collected												
7	At 4.5m depth	SPT		STP Rebounded, sample not recovered										N>50		
8	From 4.5m to 6.0m depth	Rock				Rock	strata, b	roken roc	k piece	s collec	ted					
9	At 6.0m depth	SPT		STP Rebounded, sample not recovered											N>50	

TEST CONDUCTED AS PER IS: 2720 (Pt. I, Pt. II, Pt. III, Pt. IV, Pt. V, Pt. XI / Pt. XIII, Pt. XXXX) AND IS: 1498 – 1970

SUB SOIL INVESTIGATION TEST RESULT OF THE SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING BORING OF B.H. $\mathrm{No.}\ 04$

TEST CONDUCTED AS PER IS: 2720 (Pt. I, Pt. II, Pt. III, Pt. IV, Pt. V, Pt. XI / Pt. XIII, Pt. XXXX) AND IS: 1498 – 1970

				Grain	size ana	lysis		Atterb	erg's L	imits						
SI. No	Soil sample collected at	Type of collection	Fine Gravel In % (20mm to 4.75mm)	Coarse Sand In % (4.75mm to 2.0mm)	Medium Sand In % (2.0mm to 0.425mm)	Fine Sand in % (0.425mm to 0.075mm)	Silt (S)& Clay (C) in % (0.075mm to 0.001mm)	Liquid Limit In %	Plastic Limit In %	Plasticity Index in %	Field Moisture Content in %	Bulk density in gm/cc.	Specific gravity	D.F.S. In %	Field S.P.T. Value	Group of soil.
1	From 0.0 m to 1.0m depth	Rock				Rock	strata, b	roken roc	k piece	s collec	cted					
2	At 1.0m depth	SPT		STP Rebounded, sample not recovered									N>50			
3	From 1.0 m to 2.0m depth	Rock				Rock	strata, b	roken roc	k piece	s collec	cted					
4	At 2.0m depth	SPT				STP	Reboun	ded, sam	ple not	recover	ed				N>50	
5	From 2.0m to 3.0m depth	Rock				Rock	strata, b	roken roc	k piece	s collec	cted					
6	At 3.0m depth	SPT				STP	Reboun	ded, sam	ple not	recover	ed				N>50	
7	From 3.0m to 4.5m depth	Rock		Rock strata, broken rock pieces collected												
8	At 4.5m depth	SPT		STP Rebounded, sample not recovered									N>50			
9	From 4.5m to 6.0m depth	Rock				Rock	strata, b	roken roc	k piece	s collec	cted					
10	At 6.0m depth	SPT		STP Rebounded, sample not recovered										N>50		

SUB SOIL INVESTIGATION TEST RESULT OF THE SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING BORING OF B.H. No. 05

TEST CONDUCTED AS PER IS: 2720 (Pt. I, Pt. II, Pt. III, Pt. IV, Pt. V, Pt. XI / Pt. XIII, Pt. XXXX) AND IS: 149	98 – 1970

			Grain size analysis				Atterberg's Limits									
SI. No	Soil sample collected at	Type of collection	Fine Gravel In % (20mm to 4.75mm)	Coarse Sand In % (4.75mm to 2.0mm)	Medium Sand In % (2.0mm to 0.425mm)	Fine Sand in % (0.425mm to 0.075mm)	Silt (S)& Clay (C) in % (0.075mm to 0.001mm)	Liquid Limit In %	Plastic Limit In %	Plasticity Index in %	Field Moisture Content in %	Bulk density in gm/cc.	Specific gravity	D.F.S. In %	Field S.P.T. Value	Group of soil.
1	1.0m depth	SPT	15.95	15.00	41.5	13.15	14.40	20.0	Np		7.23	1.802	2.65	0.0	N = 41	SM
2	2.0m depth	SPT	5.00	10.06	33.94	27.10	23.90	24.0	Np		7.12	1.810	2.65	0.0	N >50	SM
3	3.0m depth	SPT	0.00	0.00	64.46	30.10	5.44	17.0	Np		7.06	1.874	2.65	0.0	N > 50	SP-SM
4	From 3.04m to 4.5m depth	Rock		Rock strata, core recovery = 85.0% and RQD = 30.0%												
5	From4.5m to 6.0m depth	Rock	Rock strata, core recovery = 92.0% and RQD = 53.0%													

CHAPTER SIX

Calculation of Safe Bearing Capacity from Standard Penetration Test

	Site Information			
1	Bore Hole No	1		
2	Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 1 m		
3	Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°		
1	Laboratory Investigations Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.099 kg / cm2	> <u>50</u> SPT 50.00		Factor = 1.000 q =(γ' D)/1000 = 0.099 kg / cm ²
	b) Due to Dilatancy	N 32.50	Strata below Water Table=	
2	Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 °	Ref: Fig.1 of IS 6403: 1981	
3	Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.62		
4	Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 0.994 gm/cm ³		
2 3 4 5	Assumptions Width of Foundation Depth of Foundation below Ground level Length of Foundation Shape of Base Depth of Water Table Effect of Water Table Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm D _f 100 cm L 200 cm Square 0 Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3		
Calc	culations			
	Submerged Density of Soil			
	Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$	0.099 kg/cm ²		
	Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° <u>Shape Fa</u>	octors Depth Fact	ors Inclination Factors
	N _q	42.570 s _g 1.200	d _q 1.0990	i _α 1.0000
	Nγ	66.444 s _γ 0.800	•	i, 1.0000
	Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = q$	η (N _q - 1) s _q d _q i _q + ½ Βγ Ν	1	1
	= 0.0	099 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0. 5.4274 + 5.8067	1.099 x 1.000 800 x 1.099 x 1.000 x 1.000) / 1000
	Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = 1$	11.2341 kg/cm ²		
	Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	37.45 T/m ²		
	Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = NS	SBC + q		
	SBC =	38.44 T/m ²		

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1 \\ D_f & 2 \\ \alpha & 0 \\ \end{array}^{\circ} $
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.200 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.200 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N32.50Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt ϕ 36.5°Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981G2.62 γ' 1.000 gm/cm ³
Assumptions 1 Width of Foundation 2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level 3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table Effect of Water Table 6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm D _f 200 cm L 200 cm Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.000 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	0.200 kg/cm ² 36.5 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
N_q N_γ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = q$	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.1980 i_q 1.0000 66.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.1980 i_γ 1.0000 $f(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$ 200 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.198 x 1.000 1.0000
+ ½ = 1	x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 11.9522 + 6.368 18.3202 kg/cm ² 61.07 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = NS SBC =	SBC + q 63.07 T/m ²

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} \hline 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \end{array} $
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.320 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.320 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table= Fine Sand and Silt ϕ 36.5° Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981 G 2.65 γ' 1.065 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water TableEffect of Water Table6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm D _f 300 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.065 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	0.320 kg/cm ² 36.5 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
$N_q \\ N\gamma$ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $~q_d =$	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.2980 i_q 1.000066.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.2980 i_γ 1.0000 $q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
+ ½ =	1.320 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.298 x 1.000 2 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.298 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 20.7198 + 7.348 28.0678 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	93.56 T/m ²
5 1 3 ()	ISBC + q
SBC =	96.76 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	1
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 4.5 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.426 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.426 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table= Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 [°] Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.64
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.065 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety forCalculationsSubmerged Density of SoilSurcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N _g	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.3970 i _q 1.0000
Νγ	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
= 0 + ½ =	.426 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.397 x 1.000
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	37.5956 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	125.32 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	ISBC + q
SBC =	129.58 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 6 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.635 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.635 \text{ kg} / \text{cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.635 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ N32.50Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 ° <i>Ref: Fig.</i> 1 of <i>IS</i> 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.64
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.058 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety forCalculationsSubmerged Density of SoilSurcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N _a	42.570 s _a 1.200 d _a 1.5950 i _a 1.0000
Νγ	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.5950 i_q 1.000066.444 s_r 0.800 d_r 1.5950 i_r 1.0000
	$\frac{1}{q} (N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
= 0. + ½ = Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity q _d =	635 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.595 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.595 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 50.5238 + 8.97 59.4938 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	198.31 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	SBC + q
SBC =	204.66 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 7.5 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.784 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.784 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 [°] <i>Ref: Fig.</i> 1 of <i>IS</i> 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.64
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety forCalculationsSubmerged Density of SoilSurcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N _g	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.7440 i _q 1.0000
Νγ	$66.444 \qquad s_{v} 0.800 \qquad d_{v} 1.7440 \qquad i_{v} 1.0000$
	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
= 0 + ½ =	.784 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.744 x 1.000 2 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.744 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 68.2062 + 9.6874 77.8936 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	259.65 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	ISBC + q
SBC =	267.49 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 9 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.941 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.941 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table= Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 [°] <i>Ref: Fig.1 of IS 6403: 1981</i>
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.64
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety forCalculationsSubmerged Density of SoilSurcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N _a	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.8930 i _q 1.0000
Νγ	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
= 0. + ½ =	.941 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.893 x 1.000 2 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.893 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 88.859 + 10.5151 99.3741 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	331.25 T/m ²
	ISBC + q
SBC =	340.66 T/m ²
020 -	

	afe Bearing Capacity from Standard Penetration Test
Soil Investigation Data Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	2
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D_f 1 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations	
1 Standard Penetration Value from Field	= 27 SPT
Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:198	
a) Due to Overburden 0.107 kg / cm2	27.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000
b) Due to Dilatancy	Overburden presuure = $q = \gamma' D =$ $0.107 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ N21.00Strata below Water Table= Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.65
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.071 gm/cm ³
Assumptions	
1 Width of Foundation	B 200 cm
2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level	D _f 100 cm
3 Length of Foundation	L 200 cm
4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table	Dw Not found
Effect of Water Table	W' 1.0
6 Factor of Safety for	Sand 3
Calculations	
Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.071 gm/cm ³
	φ <u>33.4</u> ° Nφ <u>3.449</u>
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$	0.107 kg/cm^2
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	33.4 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
N _q	28.532 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.0930 i _q 1.0000
Nγ	39.828 s _y 0.800 d _y 1.0930 i _y 1.0000
Angle of Internal Friction $\Phi' =$	24
N _q	9.808
Νγ	9.782
Difference in N _q	18.724 Difference in N _y 30.0460
From interpolation the required values of I	
N _q =	22.447
$N_y =$	
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_{\gamma} N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} W'$
	0.107 x (22.447 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.093 x 1.000 ½ x 200.0 x 0.001 x 30.063 x 0.800 x 1.093 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000
=	3.0099 + 2.8154
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	5.8253 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	19.42 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) =	NSBC +q
SBC =	20.49 T/m ²

	afe Bearing Capacity from Standard Penetration Test
Soil Investigation Data Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	2
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 2 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$\alpha \qquad 0^{\circ}$
Laboratory Investigations	
1 Standard Penetration Value from Field	= 40 SPT
Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:198	<u>1</u>
a) Due to Overburden 0.212 kg / cm2	40.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000
	Overburden presuure = $q = \gamma' D = 0.212 \text{ kg} / \text{cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	N 27.50 Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 35.5 [°] Ref: Fig.1 of IS 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G = 2.65
4 Submerged Density of Soil Assumptions	γ' 1.058 gm/cm ³
1 Width of Foundation	B 200 cm
2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level	D_f 200 cm
3 Length of Foundation	L 200 cm
4 Shape of Base	Square
5 Depth of Water Table	Dw Not found
Effect of Water Table	W' 1.0 Cond 2
6 Factor of Safety for Calculations	Sand 3
Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.058 gm/cm ³
	φ 35.5 °
	Nφ 3.770
Surcharge Intensity = q = γ' D / 1000	0.212 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	35.5 ^o Shape Factors Depth Factors Inclination Factors
N _q	36.390 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.1940 i _q 1.0000
Νγ	54.168 s_{γ} 0.800 d_{γ} 1.1940 i_{γ} 1.0000
Angle of Internal Friction $\Phi' =$	26
N _q	12.208
Νγ	13.184
Difference in N _q	24.182 Difference in N _y 40.9840
From interpolation the required values of	
N _q =	34.879
$N_y =$	51.607
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity q _d =	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_{\gamma} N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} W'$
	0.212 x (34.879 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.194 x 1.000 ∕₂ x 200.0 x 0.001 x 51.607 x 0.800 x 1.194 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000
	10.2908 + 5.2154
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	15.5062 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	51.69 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) =	NSBC +q
SBC =	53.81 T/m ²
666 -	

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} $
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.317 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.317 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N 32.50 ϕ 36.5° Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt ϕ 36.5° Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981 G 2.64 γ' 1.058 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water TableEffect of Water Table6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm Dr 300 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.058 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	0.317 kg/cm ² 36.5 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
Ν _q Nγ	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
= 0. + ½ =	2.317 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.298 x 1.000 ½ x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.298 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 20.5256 + 7.2997
	27.8253 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	92.75 T/m ²
5 1 3 ()	1SBC + q
SBC =	95.92 T/m ²

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} 2 \\ D_{f} & 4.5 \\ \alpha & 0 \\ 0 \\ $
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.470 kg / cm2	50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N32.50 36.5 Strata below Water Table= $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.470 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ ϕ 36.5 \circ Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981G2.62 γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water TableEffect of Water Table6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm Df 450 cm L 200 cm Square cm Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = q = γ' D / 1000 Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	0.470 kg/cm ² 36.5 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
Ν _q Nγ	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
= 0. + ½ =	470 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.446 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.446 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 33.9022 + 8.0321 41.9343 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	139.78 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	SBC + q
SBC =	144.48 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 6 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.627 kg / cm2	50.00CohesionlessSoilFactor =1.000
b) Due to Dilatancy	Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.627 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ N32.50Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 [°] <i>Ref: Fig.</i> 1 of <i>IS</i> 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.62
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³
Assumptions	
1 Width of Foundation	B 200 cm
2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level	D _f 600 cm
3 Length of Foundation	L 200 cm
4 Shape of Base	Square
5 Depth of Water Table	Dw Not found
Effect of Water Table	W' <u>1.0</u>
6 Factor of Safety for	Sand 3
Calculations	
Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$	0.627 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° Shape Factors Depth Factors Inclination Factors
Ν _q Νγ	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.5950 i_q 1.000066.444 s_y 0.800 d_y 1.5950 i_y 1.0000
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
+ ½ =	$627 \times (42.570 - 1) \times 1.200 \times 1.595 \times 1.000$ $ \times 200.0 \times 0.001 \times 66.444 \times 0.800 \times 1.595 \times 1.000 \times 1.000 / 1000$ 49.8872 + 8.8598 = 8.8598
	58.7470 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	195.82 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	SBC + q
SBC =	202.09 T/m ²

Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = NS SBC =	SBC + q 41.03 T/m ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	39.97 T/m ²
+ $\frac{1}{2}$ = Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = -\frac{1}{2}$	$106 \times (42.570 - 1) \times 1.200 \times 1.099 \times 1.000 \times 200.0 \times 0.001 \times 66.444 \times 0.800 \times 1.099 \times 1.000 \times 1.000 / 1000 \times 5.8112 + 6.1806 \times 11.9918 \text{ kg/cm}^2$
	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.0990 i_q 1.000066.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.0990 i_γ 1.0000 $g(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
CalculationsSubmerged Density of SoilSurcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \gamma' & 1.058 & gm/cm^3 \\ \phi & 36.5 \\ N\phi & 3.936 \\ \hline & 0.106 & kg/cm^2 \\ \hline & 36.5 \\ \end{array} \\ \hline & Shape Factors & Depth Factors & Inclination Factors \\ \hline \end{array} $
 <u>Assumptions</u> 1 Width of Foundation 2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level 3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety for 	B 200 cm D _f 100 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
 3 Inclination of load to the vertical Laboratory Investigations Standard Penetration Value from Field Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981 a) Due to Overburden 0.106 kg / cm2 b) Due to Dilatancy Angle of Internal Friction Specific Gravity of Soil Submerged Density of Soil 	$\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ $> 50 \text{ SPT}$ $50.00 \qquad \text{Cohesionless Soil Factor} = 1.000 \qquad \text{Overburden presuure} = q = (\gamma' \text{ D})/1000 = 0.106 \text{ kg / cm}^2$ $N = 32.50 \qquad \text{Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt}$ $\phi = 36.5^{\circ} \qquad \text{Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981}$ $G = 2.65 \qquad \gamma' = 1.058 \text{ gm/cm}^3$
Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 3 1 m

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} $
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.209 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.209 \text{ kg} / \text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt ϕ 36.5 ° Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981 γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water TableEffect of Water Table6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm D _f 200 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$	0.209 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° Shape Factors Depth Factors Inclination Factors
N _q Nγ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity q _d =	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.1980 i_q 1.000066.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.1980 i_γ 1.0000 q (N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + ½ B_γ N_γ s_γ d_γ i_γ W'
= 0. + ½ =	209 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 12.4901 + 6.6546
	19.1447 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	63.82 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	SBC + q
SBC =	65.91 T/m ²

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$D_{f} \qquad \frac{3}{3} m \\ \alpha \qquad 0^{\circ}$
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.314 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.314 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N 32.50 ϕ 36.5° $Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981$ g 1.045 gm/cm^3
Assumptions 1 Width of Foundation 2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level 3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm Dr 300 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.045 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$	0.314 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ^o <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
N_q N_γ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.2980 i_q 1.000066.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.2980 i_γ 1.0000 q (N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + ½ $B\gamma$ N_γ s_γ d_γ i_γ W'1.2980 i_γ 1.0000
= 0. + ½ =	.314 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.298 x 1.000 2 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.298 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 20.3313 + 7.21
	27.5413 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	91.8 T/m ²
5 1 2 ()	ISBC + q
SBC =	94.94 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 4.5 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.473 kg / cm2	50.00CohesionlessSoilFactor =1.000
b) Due to Dilatancy	Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.473 \text{ kg} / \text{ cm}^2$ N32.50Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 ° <i>Ref: Fig.1 of IS 6403: 1981</i>
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.62
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.052 gm/cm ³
Assumptions	
1 Width of Foundation	B 200 cm
2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level	D _f 450 cm
3 Length of Foundation	L 200 cm
4 Shape of Base	Square
5 Depth of Water Table	Dw Not found
Effect of Water Table	W' <u>1.0</u>
6 Factor of Safety for	Sand 3
Calculations	
Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.052 gm/cm ³ φ 36.5 ° Nφ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$	0.473 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° Shape Factors Depth Factors Inclination Factors
N _q	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.4460 i _q 1.0000
Νγ	66.444 s _γ 0.800 d _γ 1.4460 i _γ 1.0000
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = 0$	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
+ ½ =	473 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.446 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.446 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 34.1186 + 8.0859
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	42.2045 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	140.68 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	SBC + q
SBC =	145.41 T/m ²

Site Information		
1 Bore Hole No		
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 6 m	
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°	
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.631 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.631 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$	
b) Due to Dilatancy	Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.631 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ N32.50Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt	1
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 ° <i>Ref: Fig.1 of IS 6403: 1981</i>	1
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.62	
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.052 gm/cm ³	
Assumptions		
1 Width of Foundation	B 200 cm	
2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level	D _f 600 cm	
3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base	L 200 cm Square	
5 Depth of Water Table	Dw Not found	
Effect of Water Table	W' 1.0	
6 Factor of Safety for	Sand 3	
Coloulations		
Calculations	$1 - 1050 \text{ cm}/\text{cm}^3$	
Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.052 gm/cm ³	
	φ <u>36.5</u> ° Nφ <u>3.936</u>	
Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$	0.631 kg/cm^2	
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 [°] Shape Factors Depth Factors Inclination Factors	
N _q	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.5950 i _q 1.0000	
Νγ	66.444 s_{γ} 0.800 d_{γ} 1.5950 i_{γ} 1.0000	
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$	
+ ½	631 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.595 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.595 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 50.2055 + 8.9191	
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	59.1246 kg/cm ²	
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	197.08 T/m ²	
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	SBC + q	
SBC =	203.39 T/m ²	

Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = NS	SBC + q 39.81 T/m ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	38.78 T/m ²
+ $\frac{1}{2}$ = Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = -\frac{1}{2}$	103 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.099 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.099 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 5.6467 + 5.9878 11.6345 kg/cm ²
	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.0990 i_q 1.0000 66.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.0990 i_γ 1.0000 $q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$ 1.0990 i_γ 1.0000
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil Surcharge Intensity = q = γ' D / 1000 Bearing Capacity Factors for φ	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \gamma' & 1.025 & gm/cm^3 \\ \phi & 36.5 \\ N\phi & 3.936 \\ \hline & 0.103 & kg/cm^2 \\ \hline & 36.5 \\ \end{array} \\ \hline & Shape Factors & Depth Factors & Inclination Factors \\ \hline \end{array} $
Assumptions 1 Width of Foundation 2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level 3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm D _f 100 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
 3 Inclination of load to the vertical <u>Laboratory Investigations</u> 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.103 kg / cm2 b) Due to Dilatancy 2 Angle of Internal Friction 3 Specific Gravity of Soil 4 Submerged Density of Soil 	$\alpha \qquad 0^{\circ}$ $> 50 \text{ SPT}$ $50.00 \qquad \text{Cohesionless Soil Factor} = 1.000$ $Overburden \text{ presuure} = q = (\gamma' \text{ D})/1000 = 0.103 \text{ kg / cm}^2$ $N \qquad 32.50$ $\varphi \qquad 36.5^{\circ}$ $G \qquad 2.62$ $\gamma' \qquad 1.025 \text{ gm/cm}^3$ $Fig. 1 \text{ of } IS 6403: 1981$
Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 4 m

Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} $
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.212 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.212 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt ϕ 36.5 ° Ref: Fig.1 of IS 6403: 1981 γ' 1.059 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water TableEffect of Water Table6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm D _f 200 cm L 200 cm Square organization Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.059 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$	0.212 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
Ν _q Νγ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity q _d =	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.1980 i_q 1.0000 66.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.1980 i_γ 1.0000 q (N_q - 1) $s_q d_q i_q$ + ½ $B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$ i_γ 1.0000
= 0. + ½ =	212 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 12.6693 + 6.7437
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$ Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	19.4130 kg/cm ² 64.71 T/m ²
	SBC + q
SBC =	66.83 T/m ²
Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c c} $
--	--
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.318 kg / cm2	50.00CohesionlessSoilFactor =1.000
b) Due to Dilatancy2 Angle of Internal Friction3 Specific Gravity of Soil4 Submerged Density of Soil	N32.50Strata below Water Table= $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.318 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ ϕ 36.5 $Ref: Fig. 1 \text{ of } IS 6403: 1981$ G2.62 γ' 1.059 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water TableEffect of Water Table6 Factor of Safety for	B 200 cm Dr 300 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.059 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$	0.318 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° <u>Shape Factors</u> <u>Depth Factors</u> <u>Inclination Factors</u>
N_q N γ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = 0$	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.2980 i_q 1.0000 66.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.2980 i_γ 1.0000 q (N_q - 1) $s_q d_q i_q$ + ½ $B\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$ i_γ 1.0000
= 0. + ½ =	.318 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.298 x 1.000
	27.8969 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	92.99 T/m ²
5 1 3 1 3	ISBC + q
SBC =	96.17 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 4.5 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	
5 inclination of load to the vertical	α 0
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.477 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000 Overburden presuure = $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.477 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table= Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5° Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.62
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.059 gm/cm ³
Assumptions 1 Width of Foundation 2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level 3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety for Calculations	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.059 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
Surcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$	0.477 kg/cm ²
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	36.5 ° Shape Factors Depth Factors Inclination Factors
N _q Nγ	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.4460 i_q 1.000066.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.4460 i_γ 1.0000 q (N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + ½ $B\gamma$ N_γ s_γ d_γ i_γ W'1.4460 i_γ 1.0000
+ ½ =	477 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.446 x 1.000 2 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.446 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 34.4071 + 8.1397 42.5468 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	141.82 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	ISBC + q
SBC =	146.59 T/m ²

Site Information	
1 Bore Hole No	4
2 Depth of Sample Collection	D _f 6 m
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α 0°
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.640 kg / cm2	$> 50 \text{ SPT}$ $\frac{1}{50.00} \text{Cohesionless Soil} \text{Factor} = 1.000$ $\text{Overburden presuure} = q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.640 \text{ kg / cm}^2$
b) Due to Dilatancy	N 32.50 Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ 36.5 ° Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	G 2.62
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.066 gm/cm ³
Assumptions1 Width of Foundation2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level3 Length of Foundation4 Shape of Base5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety forCalculationsSubmerged Density of SoilSurcharge Intensity = $q = \gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N _q	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.5950 i _q 1.0000
Νγ	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
•	$q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$
= 0 + ½ =	0.640 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.595 x 1.000 ½ x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.595 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 50.9216 + 9.0378
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	59.9594 kg/cm ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	199.86 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = N	ISBC + q
SBC =	206.26 T/m ²

Calculation of Sa Soil Investigation Data	te Bearl	ng Capacity fro	om Standard	Penetra	tion lest	
Site Information						
1 Bore Hole No		5				
2 Depth of Sample Collection	Df	1 m				
3 Inclination of load to the vertical	α	0 °				
Laboratory Investigations						
1 Standard Penetration Value from Field		41 SPT				
Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:198 a) Due to Overburden 0.101 kg / cm2	<u> </u>	41.00	Cohesionless	Soil	Factor =	1.000
	L	41.00	Overburden pres		$q = \gamma' D =$	0.101 kg / cm ²
b) Due to Dilatancy	N	28.00	Strata below Wa			
2 Angle of Internal Friction	φ	35.6 °	Ref: Fig.1 of IS			
3 Specific Gravity of Soil	Ġ	2.65	J			
4 Submerged Density of Soil	γ'	1.012 gm/cm ³				
Assumptions						
1 Width of Foundation	В	200 cm				
2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level	D _f	100 cm				
3 Length of Foundation	L	200 cm				
4 Shape of Base		Square				
5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i>	Dw N W'	Not found 1.0				
6 Factor of Safety for	Sand	3				
Calculations	F					
Submerged Density of Soil	γ'	1.012 gm/cm ³				
	φ	35.6 [°]				
	Νφ	3.786				
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$		0.101 kg/cm ²				
Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	35.6 °	-		Depth Fac		Inclination Factors
N _q	37.008	s _q 1.200		1.0970		i _q 1.0000
Νγ	55.396	s_{γ} 0.800	d_{γ}	1.0970)	i _γ 1.0000
Angle of Internal Friction $\Phi' =$	26					
N _q	12.208					
Nγ Differences in N	13.184	5.4		10.0100		
Difference in N_q	24.800	Differenc	e in N _y	42.2120)	
From interpolation the required values of N $N_q =$	35.768) =				
	53.285					
$N_y =$ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$		s _a d _a i _a + ½ Βγ Ν	lodi W/			
	•					
		.768 - 1) x 1.200 x 0.001 x 53.285 x 0		00 v 1 00	0 / 1000	
+ /	² x ∠00.0 x 4.6226	+ 4.732		JUU X 1.00	0/1000	
Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d =$	9.3550 k					
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	31.18 т					
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) =	ISBC +q					
SBC =	32.19 т	ī/m²				

Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = NS SBC =	SBC + q 66.24 T/m ²
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	64.14 T/m ²
+ $\frac{1}{2}$ = Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity q_d =	210 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.198 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 12.5498 + 6.6928 19.2426 kg/cm ²
	42.570 s_q 1.200 d_q 1.1980 i_q 1.0000 66.444 s_γ 0.800 d_γ 1.1980 i_γ 1.0000 $q(N_q - 1) s_q d_q i_q + \frac{1}{2} B_\gamma N_\gamma s_\gamma d_\gamma i_\gamma W'$ 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil Surcharge Intensity = q = γ' D / 1000 Bearing Capacity Factors for φ	$ \begin{array}{c c} \gamma' & 1.051 \\ \phi & 36.5 \\ N\phi & 3.936 \\ \hline & 0.210 \\ sd{s}/cm^2 \\ \hline & 36.5 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ & Shape \ Factors \\ \hline & Depth \ Factors \\ \hline & Inclination \ Factors \\ \hline \end{array} $
 <u>Assumptions</u> 1 Width of Foundation 2 Depth of Foundation below Ground level 3 Length of Foundation 4 Shape of Base 5 Depth of Water Table <i>Effect of Water Table</i> 6 Factor of Safety for 	B 200 cm D _f 200 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
 3 Inclination of load to the vertical <u>Laboratory Investigations</u> 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.210 kg / cm2 b) Due to Dilatancy 2 Angle of Internal Friction 3 Specific Gravity of Soil 4 Submerged Density of Soil 	$\alpha \qquad 0^{\circ}$ $> 50 \text{ SPT}$ $50.00 \qquad Cohesionless \text{ Soil Factor} = 1.000$ $Overburden \text{ pressure} = q = (\gamma' \text{ D})/1000 = 0.210 \text{ kg / cm}^2$ $N \qquad 32.50 \qquad \text{Strata below Water Table=Fine Sand and Silt}$ $\phi \qquad 36.5 ^{\circ} \qquad Ref: Fig.1 \text{ of } IS 6403: 1981$ $G \qquad 2.65 \\ \gamma' \qquad 1.051 \text{ gm/cm}^3$
Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection	$D_f \qquad \frac{5}{2} m$

SBC =	98.6 T/m ²
Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC) = NS	SBC + q
Net Safe Bearing Capacity (NSBC)=	95.34 T/m ²
+ ½ = 2	326 x (42.570 - 1) x 1.200 x 1.298 x 1.000 x 200.0 x 0.001 x 66.444 x 0.800 x 1.298 x 1.000 x 1.000 / 1000 21.1083 + 7.4929 28.6012 kg/cm ²
N γ Ultimate Net Bearing Capacity $q_d = q$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N _q	42.570 s _q 1.200 d _q 1.2980 i _q 1.0000
Surcharge Intensity = q = $\gamma' D / 1000$ Bearing Capacity Factors for ϕ	0.326 kg/cm²36.5 °Shape FactorsDepth FactorsInclination Factors
Calculations Submerged Density of Soil	γ' 1.086 gm/cm ³ ϕ 36.5 ° N ϕ 3.936
	Dr 300 cm L 200 cm Square Square Dw Not found W' 1.0 Sand 3
Assumptions 1 Width of Foundation	B 200 cm
 b) Due to Dilatancy 2 Angle of Internal Friction 3 Specific Gravity of Soil 4 Submerged Density of Soil 	N32.50Strata below Water Table= $q = (\gamma' D)/1000 = 0.326 \text{ kg}/\text{ cm}^2$ ϕ 36.5°Ref: Fig. 1 of IS 6403: 1981G2.64 γ' 1.086 gm/cm ³
Laboratory Investigations 1 Standard Penetration Value from Field <u>Corrections as per Cl. 3.6. of IS 2131:1981</u> a) Due to Overburden 0.326 kg / cm2	> 50 SPT 50.00 Cohesionless Soil Factor = 1.000
Site Information 1 Bore Hole No 2 Depth of Sample Collection 3 Inclination of load to the vertical	$ \begin{array}{c} $
O ¹ / ₂ I I I	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
Depth of foundation	BH-1	mt.			
	I	IIIt.			
Corrected N Value	32.5				
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm			
Effect of water table	0.5				
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm			
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	37.450	t/m ²		
Settlement from graph =	56.18	mm			
Depth factor =	0.85				
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	47.75	mm			
Rigidity factor =	0.8				
Final settlement (S) =	38.2	mm (Say	38	mm)	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
	BH-1				
Depth of foundation	2	mt.			
Corrected N Value	32.5				
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm			
Effect of water table	0.5				
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm			
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	61.070	t/m ²		
Settlement from graph =	91.61	mm			
Depth factor =	0.725				
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	66.41	mm			
Rigidity factor =	0.8				
Final settlement (S) =	53.1	mm (Say	53	mm)	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
	BH-1				
Depth of foundation	3	mt.			
Corrected N Value	32.5				
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm			
Effect of water table	0.5				
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm			
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	93.560	t/m ²		
Settlement from graph =	140.34	mm			
Depth factor =	0.66				
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	92.62	mm			
Rigidity factor =	0.8				
Final settlement (S) =	74.1	mm (Say	74	mm)	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
	BH-1				
Depth of foundation	4.5	mt.			
Corrected N Value	32.5				
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm			
Effect of water table	0.5				
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm			
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	125.320	t/m ²		
Settlement from graph =	187.98	mm			
Depth factor =	0.61				
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	114.67	mm			
Rigidity factor =	0.8				
Final settlement (S) =	91.7	mm (Say	92	mm)	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
	BH-1				
Depth of foundation	6	mt.			
Corrected N Value	32.5				
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm			
Effect of water table	0.5				
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm			
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	198.310	t/m ²		
Settlement from graph =	297.47	mm			
Depth factor =	0.58				
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	172.53	mm			
Rigidity factor =	0.8				
Final settlement (S) =	138.0	mm (Say	138	mm)	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
	BH-1				
Depth of foundation	7.5	mt.			
Corrected N Value	32.5				
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm			
Effect of water table	0.5				
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm			
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	259.650	t/m ²		
Settlement from graph =	389.48	mm			
Depth factor =	0.563				
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	219.27	mm			
Rigidity factor =	0.8				
Final settlement (S) =	175.4	mm (Say	175	mm)	

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976				
	BH-1			
Depth of foundation	9	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	331.250	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	496.88	mm		
Depth factor =	0.56			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	278.25	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	222.6	mm (Say	223	mm)

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976						
	BH-2					
Depth of foundation	1	mt.				
Corrected N Value	21					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	13.5	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	27.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	19.420	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	52.43	mm				
Depth factor =	0.85					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	44.57	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	35.7	mm (Say	36	mm)		

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976						
	BH-2					
Depth of foundation	2	mt.				
Corrected N Value	27.5					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	9	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	18.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	51.690	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	93.04	mm				
Depth factor =	0.725					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	67.46	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	54.0	mm (Say	54	mm)		

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976				
	BH-2			
Depth of foundation	3	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	92.750	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	139.13	mm		
Depth factor =	0.66			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	91.82	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	73.5	mm (Say	73	mm)

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976				
	BH-2			
Depth of foundation	4.5	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	139.780	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	209.67	mm		
Depth factor =	0.61			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	127.90	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	102.3	mm (Say	102	mm)

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976						
	BH-2					
Depth of foundation	6	mt.				
Corrected N Value	32.5					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	195.820	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	293.73	mm				
Depth factor =	0.58					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	170.36	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	136.3	mm (Say	136	mm)		

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976				
Depth of foundation	BH-3	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	39.970	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	59.96	mm		
Depth factor =	0.85			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	50.96	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	40.8	mm (Say	41	mm)

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976						
	BH-3					
Depth of foundation	2	mt.				
Corrected N Value	32.5					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	63.820	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	95.73	mm				
Depth factor =	0.725					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	69.40	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	55.5	mm (Say	56	mm)		

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976				
	BH-3			
Depth of foundation	3	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	91.800	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	137.70	mm		
Depth factor =	0.66			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	90.88	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	72.7	mm (Say	73	mm)

AS PER I	AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976					
	BH-3					
Depth of foundation	4.5	mt.				
Corrected N Value	32.5					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	140.680	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	211.02	mm				
Depth factor =	0.61					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	128.72	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	103.0	mm (Say	103	mm)		

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976						
	BH-3					
Depth of foundation	6	mt.				
Corrected N Value	32.5					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	197.080	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	295.62	mm				
Depth factor =	0.58					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	171.46	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	137.2	mm (Say	137	mm)		

AS PER IS:8009 (Part -I)-1976						
	BH-4					
Depth of foundation	1	mt.				
Corrected N Value	32.5					
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm				
Effect of water table	0.5					
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm				
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	38.780	t/m ²			
Settlement from graph =	58.17	mm				
Depth factor =	0.85					
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	49.44	mm				
Rigidity factor =	0.8					
Final settlement (S) =	39.6	mm (Say	40	mm)		

AS PER I	S:8009 (P	art -I)-1976		
	BH-4			
Depth of foundation	2	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	64.710	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	97.07	mm		
Depth factor =	0.725			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	70.37	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	56.3	mm (Say	56	mm)

AS PER I	S:8009 (P	art -I)-1976		
	BH-4			
Depth of foundation	3	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	92.990	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	139.49	mm		
Depth factor =	0.66			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	92.06	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	73.6	mm (Say	74	mm)

AS PER I	S:8009 (P	art -I)-1976		
	BH-4			
Depth of foundation	4.5	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	141.820	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	212.73	mm		
Depth factor =	0.61			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	129.77	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	103.8	mm (Say	104	mm)

AS PER I	S:8009 (P	art -I)-1976		
	BH-4			
Depth of foundation	6	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	199.860	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	299.79	mm		
Depth factor =	0.58			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	173.88	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	139.1	mm (Say	139	mm)

AS PER I	•	art -I)-1976		
	BH-5			
Depth of foundation	1	mt.		
Corrected N Value	28			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	9.0	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	18.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	31.180	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	56.12	mm		
Depth factor =	0.85			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	47.71	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	38.2	mm (Say	38	mm)

AS PER I	S:8009 (P	art -I)-1976		
	BH-5			
Depth of foundation	2	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	64.140	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	96.21	mm		
Depth factor =	0.725			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	69.75	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	55.8	mm (Say	56	mm)

AS PER I	S:8009 (P	art -I)-1976		
	BH-5			
Depth of foundation	3	mt.		
Corrected N Value	32.5			
From graph As pr IS: 8009-1976	7.5	mm		
Effect of water table	0.5			
Corrected Settlement	15.00	mm		
Due to imposed load =	NSBC =	95.340	t/m ²	
Settlement from graph =	143.01	mm		
Depth factor =	0.66			
$S_{fd} = S_f x$ Depth factor =	94.39	mm		
Rigidity factor =	0.8			
Final settlement (S) =	75.5	mm (Say	76	mm)

ple	nce	Sample collected from depth	Size of	•	Length: Dia ratio of	Correction factor for	Cross- sectional	Density in gm/cc	Water absorption	Compressive Load in KN	Compressive strength in	Corrected compressive	Ave. corrected Compressive	SB	с	Condition of test
Sample Reference	Bore hole reference		Length in cm	Diameter in cm	specimen	size Length:Dia	area of the specimen in mm ²		in %		N/mm ²	strength in N/mm ²	strength in N/mm ²	in N/mm² or in Mpa	in T/m ²	Specimen
1			5.4	5.4	1:1	0.89	2290.5	2.552	0.48	43.0	18.77	16.71				
2	BH-01	9.0m to 10.0m	5.4	5.4	1:1	0.89	2290.5	2.605	0.42	38.0	16.59	14.77	15.67	1.96	196	WET
3			5.4	5.4	1:1	0.89	2290.5	2.588	0.47	40.0	17.46	15.54				
4			10.8	5.4	2:1	1.0	2290.5	2.665	0.32	52.0	22.70	22.70				
5		3.04m to 4.5m	10.8	5.4	2:1	1.0	2290.5	2.638	0.38	57.0	24.89	24.89	22.73	2.84	284	WET
6	BH-05		5.4	5.4	1:1	0.89	2290.5	2.650	0.35	53.0	23.14	20.59				
7	BH-05		10.8	5.4	2:1	1.0	2290.5	2.685	0.30	58.0	25.32	25.32				
8		4.5m to 6.0m	10.8	5.4	2:1	1.0	2290.5	2.693	0.28	52.0	22.70	22.70	23.87	2.98	298	WET
9			10.8	5.4	2:1	1.0	2290.5	2.680	0.32	54.0	23.58	23.58				

Chapter Seven:-SAFE BEARING CAPACITY OF ROCK CORE SPECIMENS COLLECTED DURING THE BORING AT IBRAHIMPATNAM TEST WAS CONDUCTED AS PER IS: 9143 – 1979 & IRC: 78 - 2000

Note : SBC of the rock specimen have been calculated by considering a Factor of Safety of 8 as per IRC : 78 - 2000

Chapter Eight: Analysis of the Sub Surface Investigation

The analysis of the sub-surface investigation test result of the soil samples collected during boring for the **"Soil Investigation for Static test facility for propellants at BDL, Ibrahimpatnam."**

<u>BH : 1</u>

- 1. It is seen that, from 0.0m to 3.0m depth, a rock strata is encountered and broken rock pieces were collected during boring, wherein three SPTs were conducted at 1.0m, 2.0m and 3.0m depth. The field N values from SPT are found to be more than 50.
- 2. From 3.0m to 9.0m depth, a non-plastic, non-expansive and very dense compacted poorly graded sand is presented, wherein five SPTs were conducted at each 1.5m depth interval. The field N values from SPT are found to be more than 50.
- 3. From 9.0m to 10.0m depth, (max depth explored) a rock strata is encountered, from which rock core samples were collected. The core recovery and RQD are found to be 33.0% and 0.0% respectively.

<u>BH : 2</u>

- 1. It is seen that, from 0.0m to 3.05m depth, a non-plastic non-expansive and medium to very dense compacted poorly graded sand strata is existing, wherein three SPTs were conducted at 1.0m, 2.0m and 3.0m depth. The field N values from SPT are found to be 27, 40 and more than 50 respectively.
- 2. From 3.05m to 6.0m depth, (max. depth explored) a rock strata is encountered and broken rock pieces were collected during boring, wherein two SPTs were conducted at 4.5m and 6.0m depth. The field N values from SPT are found to be more than 50.

<u>BH : 3</u>

- 1. It is seen that, from 0.0m to 1.05m depth, a non-plastic, non-expansive and very dense compacted poorly graded sand mixed with silt strata is existing, wherein one SPT was conducted at 1.0m depth. The field N value from SPT is found to be more than 50.
- 2. From 1.05m to 6.0m depth, (max. dept explored) a rock strata is encountered and broken rock pieces were collected during boring, wherein four SPTs were conducted at 2.0m, 3.0m, 4.5m and 6.0m depth. The field N values from SPT are found to be more than 50.

<u>BH:4</u>

1. It is seen that, from 0.0m to 6.0m depth, (max. depth explored) a rock strata is encountered and broken rock pieces were collected during boring, wherein five SPTs were conducted at 1.0m, 2.0m, 3.0m, 4.5m and 6.0m depth. The field N values from SPT are found to be more than 50.

<u>BH : 5</u>

- 1. It is seen that, from 0.0m to 2.4m depth, a non-plastic, non-expansive and dense to very dense graded compacted silty sand strata is presented, wherein two SPTs were conducted at 1.0m and 2.0m depth. The field N values from SPT are found to be 41 and more than 50 respectively.
- 2. From 2.4m to 3.04m depth, a non-plastic, non-expansive and dense compacted poorly graded sand mixed with silt strata is presented, wherein one SPT was conducted at 3.0m depth. The field N value from SPT is found to be more than 50.
- 3. From 3.04m to 6.0m depth (max. depth explored), a rock strata is encountered, from which rock core sample were collected. The core recoveries and RQDs are varying from 85.0% to 92.0% and 30.0% to 53.0%.

Chapter Nine (a): Abstract of Safe Bearing Capacity (SBC)

<u> Table -1</u>

Location	Depth in mt.	Type of sample collected	Group of soil	NSBC in T/m²	Settlement in mm	SBP for 40mm settlement in T/m²
	1.0	S.P.T (N>50)		37.45	38	39.42
	2.0	S.P.T (N>50)	Rock	61.07	53	46.09
	3.0	S.P.T (N>50)		93.56	74	50.57
(BH 1)	4.5	S.P.T (N>50)		125.32	92	54.49
	6.0	S.P.T (N>50)	SP	198.31	138	57.48
	7.5	S.P.T (N>50)	SP	259.65	175	59.35
	9.0	S.P.T (N>50)		331.25	223	59.42
	1.0	S.P.T (N=27)		19.42	36	21.58
	2.0	S.P.T (N=40)	SP	51.69	54	38.29
(BH 2)	3.0	S.P.T (N>50)	- Rock	92.75	73	50.82
	4.5	S.P.T (N>50)		139.78	102	54.82
	6.0	S.P.T (N>50)	NOCK	195.82	136	57.59
	1.0	S.P.T (N>50)	SP-SM	39.97	41	38.99
	2.0	S.P.T (N>50)		63.82	56	45.59
(BH 3)	3.0	S.P.T (N>50)	Rock	91.80	73	50.30
	4.5	S.P.T (N>50)	NOCK	140.68	103	54.63
	6.0	S.P.T (N>50)		197.08	137	57.54
	1.0	S.P.T (N>50)		38.78	40	38.78
	2.0	S.P.T (N>50)		64.71	56	46.22
(BH 4)	3.0	S.P.T (N>50)	Rock	92.99	74	50.26
	4.5	S.P.T (N>50)		141.82	104	54.55
	6.0	S.P.T (N>50)		199.86	139	57.51
	1.0	S.P.T (N=41)	SM	31.18	38	32.82
(BH 5)	2.0	S.P.T (N>50)	51/1	64.14	56	45.81
	3.0	S.P.T (N>50)	SP-SM	95.34	76	50.18

(b) Abstract of Safe Bearing Capacity of rock

Table – 2

	Deale an einean	C		S	BC			
Location	Rock specimens collected fromCondition of specimen test		in MPa	in T/m ²	Type of rock			
(BH 1)	9.0m to 10.0m depth	Set – 1	Wet	1.96	196	Soft Rock		
	3.04m to 4.5m depth	Set – 1	Wet	2.84	284	Hard Rock		
(BH 5)	4.5m to 6.0m depth		Wet	2.98	298	Hard Rock		
	As per IRC: 78 – 2000, ock Sugges	ted Allow	able Be	aring Value	es for Ave. C	ondtn		
Type of rock Suggested Allowable Bearing Values for Ave. Condtn. a. Hard Rocks 2.0 MPa to 3.0 MPa b. Soft Rocks 1.0 MPa to 2.0 MPa c. Weathered Rocks, Conglomerates & Laterites								

Chapter Ten: Conclusion & Recommendations

- 1. Five boreholes have been advanced for the proposed project for the "Soil investigation for Static test facility for propellants at BDL Ibrahimpatnam."
- 2. Standing water table was not found during boring.
- 3. In the present case, the superstructure load is not known. Hence a low to moderate column load has been assumed.
- 4. The bearing capacity has been calculated as per IS: 6403, IS: 8009(pt-1), IS: 9143 and IRC-78-2000. The sample calculations are attached herewith.
- It is suggested that an isolated square footing may be considered suitable for the proposed structures at 2.0m depth below the OGL, considering the SBP 30.0T/m2 corresponding to settlement of 40mm.
- 6. However considering the SBP as mentioned at **Chapter nine**, the designer may estimate the depth & Size of footing as per requirement.
- 7. For the safety of the structure a tie beam may be provided, to avoid the differential settlement. If the column load varies considerably, in such case also the tie beams become essential to avoid differential settlement.
- Hyderabad city is included in the zone II of earthquake with low seismic intensity of Z=0.10.Hence due precautions may be taken to design the foundations of building as per IS:4326 and IS:1893.

Location map showing the Bore holes

